NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUL - 8 2008 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Inis space for DEPUTY

Clerk's Office File Samp

TO: THE DISTRIC	CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Sabraw
FROM: J. Paris,	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/1/08
CASE NO.: 08cv35	DOCUMENT FILED BY: Patience Thornburg
CASE TITLE: USA v. One 2002 Mercedes Benz	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Answer	
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
7.1	Missing table of contents
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	Supplemental documents require court order
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
	OTHER:Clerks Default entered and no proof of service
	Date forwarded: 7/1/08 ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE
IT JS HEREBY ORDER	
The document is to	o be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected documer	nt to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1 Dated:	that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant CHAMBERS OF:
cc: All Parties	